

PLEASE MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Rick D. Nydegger

**WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY**

1000 Eagle Gate Tower

60 East South Temple

Salt Lake City, UT 84111

(801) 533-9800

(801) 328-1707

POSTED 05/16/01

**UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION**

of

**JOSEPH PAUL-EMILE PIERRE COTE,**

**STEPHEN DAVID THOMAS**

and

**STEVE MASTERS**

for

**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR**

**MONITORING SERVER PERFORMANCE USING A SERVER**

**WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY**

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER

60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111

FILED "SALT LAKE CITY"

## **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

### **1. Related Application**

This application is a continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 09/353,304 entitled "System and Method for Monitoring Server Performance Using a Server" filed July 13, 1999, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Application Serial No. 08/682,832 entitled "System and Method for Monitoring Server Performance at a Client Computer" (as amended), filed July 12, 1996, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

### **2. The Field of the Invention**

The present invention relates to electronic communications. Specifically, the present invention relates to a system and method for monitoring server performance using a server.

### **3. The Prior State of the Art**

Computer networks are playing an increasingly important role in the information age. One important component of a computer network is a server system. A "server system" is a hardware and/or software component that provides services to another component of the computer network typically termed a "client system." The client system may be, for example, a personal computer or workstation associated with a user.

A typical server system may provide numerous services to a client system. These services might be available, for example, in the form of software modules. The server software is supported by operating system software that provides the server software with access to resources such as the server's computer hardware resources and the server's user interface resources.

1 It is desirable to monitor the performance of server systems on a network to ensure  
2 the network is operating properly. Typically, the operating status of an individual service of  
3 a server system may be determined by sending a computer-generated query to the server  
4 system. In response to the query, the server system sends a reply that includes the operating  
5 status of the service. The reply indicates, among other things, whether the service is  
6 running. The queries and replies typically include at least one query and one reply per  
7 service. Thus, to determine the status of multiple services at a single server, the querying  
8 computer sends multiple queries and receives multiple replies.

9 The issuance of each query takes time. Thus, it is desirable to reduce the number of  
10 queries generated to monitor the performance of the services provided by a server system.

[illegible]

W. ANNIMIAN, N.Y. DEGUER & SEELEY  
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER  
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111

W. ANNIMIAN, N.Y. DEGUER & SEELEY  
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER  
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111

W. ANNILAIN, N. Y. DEUGER & SEELEY  
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER  
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111

WORMMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY  
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER  
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111

FOOTNOTES

1       Once the compilation of information is obtained by the polling server system, any or  
2 all of the compilation may be then provided to a client system so that, for example, a system  
3 administrator can review the information to diagnose and remedy any deficiency in the  
4 monitored server system. The compilation may be provided to the client system either  
5 automatically, or upon the happening of a predetermined event such as receiving a request  
6 for the compilation from the client system.

7       Additional objects and advantages of the invention will be set forth in the description  
8 which follows, and in part will be obvious from the description, or may be learned by the  
9 practice of the invention. The objects and advantages of the invention may be realized and  
10 obtained by means of the instruments and combinations particularly pointed out in the  
11 appended claims. These and other objects and features of the present invention will become  
12 more fully apparent from the following description and appended claims, or may be learned  
13 by the practice of the invention as set forth hereinafter.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In order that the manner in which the above-recited and other advantages and objects of the invention are obtained, a more particular description of the invention briefly described above will be rendered by reference to specific embodiments thereof which are illustrated in the appended drawings. Understanding that these drawings depict only typical embodiments of the invention and are not therefore to be considered limiting of its scope, the invention will be described and explained with additional specificity and detail through the use of the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 illustrates an exemplary system that provides a suitable operating environment for the present invention;

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram illustrating a suitable network environment in which the invention can be implemented;

Figure 3 is a flowchart of a method for polling monitored server systems for information;

Figure 4 is a block diagram of a client-server system in the network environment of Figure 2;

Figure 5 is a flowchart of a method for the login and mail-reading capabilities of the monitored server system;

Figure 6 is a flowchart of a method for testing the buffer-service-updating capability of the monitored server system;

Figure 7 is a block diagram of the structure of a compilation reply;

Figure 8 is a flowchart of a procedure for including the status of a software service in the compilation reply of Figure 7; and

Figure 9 is a flowchart of a procedure for synchronizing a monitored server system time indicator with a master time indicator.



## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The present invention relates to systems and methods for monitoring server system performance using a server system. Specifically, a polling server system generates a single request to obtain a compilation of information from a monitored server system. Since fewer queries are needed to monitor each server system, polling time is reduced and network efficiency improved.

Embodiments within the scope of the present invention include computer-readable media having computer-executable instructions or data structures stored thereon. Such computer-readable media can be any available media which can be accessed by a general purpose or special purpose computer. By way of example, and not limitation, such computer-readable media can comprise RAM, ROM, EEPROM, CD-ROM or other optical disk storage, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other medium which can be used to store the desired computer-executable instructions or data structures and which can be accessed by a general purpose or special purpose computer. When information is transferred or provided over a network or another communications connection to a computer, the computer properly views the connection as a computer-readable medium. Thus, such a connection is also properly termed a computer-readable medium. Combinations of the above should also be included within the scope of computer-readable media. Computer-executable instructions comprise, for example, instructions and data which cause a general purpose computer, special purpose computer, or special purpose processing device to perform a certain function or group of functions.

Figure 1 and the following discussion are intended to provide a brief, general description of a suitable network environment in which the invention may be implemented. Although not required, the invention will be described in the general context of computer-

1 executable instructions, such as program modules, being executed by computers in network  
2 environments. Generally, program modules include routines, programs, objects,  
3 components, data structures, etc. that perform particular tasks or implement particular  
4 abstract data types. Computer-executable instructions, associated data structures, and  
5 program modules represent examples of the program code means for executing steps and  
6 acts of the methods disclosed herein.

7 Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the invention may be practiced in  
8 network computing environments with many types of computer system configurations,  
9 including personal computers, hand-held devices, multi-processor systems, microprocessor-  
10 based or programmable consumer electronics, network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe  
11 computers, and the like. The invention may also be practiced in distributed computing  
12 environments where tasks are performed by local and remote processing devices that are  
13 linked through a communications network. In a distributed computing environment,  
14 program modules may be located in both local and remote memory storage devices.

15 With reference to Figure 1, an exemplary system for implementing the invention  
16 includes a general purpose computing device in the form of a computer 20, including a  
17 processing unit 21, a system memory 22, and a system bus 23 that couples various system  
18 components including the system memory 22 to the processing unit 21. The system bus 23  
19 may be any of several types of bus structures including a memory bus or memory controller,  
20 a peripheral bus, and a local bus using any of a variety of bus architectures. The system  
21 memory includes read only memory (ROM) 24 and random access memory (RAM) 25. A  
22 basic input/output system (BIOS) 26, containing the basic routines that help transfer  
23 information between elements within the computer 20, such as during start-up, may be  
24 stored in ROM 24.

1 The computer 20 may also include a magnetic hard disk drive 27 for reading from  
2 and writing to a magnetic hard disk, not shown, a magnetic disk drive 28 for reading from or  
3 writing to a removable magnetic disk 29, and an optical disk drive 30 for reading from or  
4 writing to removable optical disk 31 such as a CD-ROM or other optical media. The  
5 magnetic hard disk drive 27, magnetic disk drive 28, and optical disk drive 30 are connected  
6 to the system bus 23 by a hard disk drive interface 32, a magnetic disk drive-interface 33,  
7 and an optical drive interface 34, respectively. The drives and their associated computer-  
8 readable media provide nonvolatile storage of computer-executable instructions, data  
9 structures, program modules and other data for the computer 20. Although the exemplary  
10 environment described herein employs a magnetic hard disk, a removable magnetic disk 29  
11 and a removable optical disk 31, other types of computer readable media for storing data can  
12 be used, including magnetic cassettes, flash memory cards, digital video disks, Bernoulli  
13 cartridges, RAMs, ROMs, and the like.

14 A number of program modules may be stored on the hard disk, magnetic disk 29,  
15 optical disk 31, ROM 24 or RAM 25, including an operating system 35, one or more  
16 application programs 36, other program modules 37, and program data 38. A user may enter  
17 commands and information into the computer 20 through keyboard 40, pointing device 42,  
18 or other input devices (not shown), such as a microphone, joy stick, game pad, satellite dish,  
19 scanner, or the like. These and other input devices are often connected to the processing  
20 unit 21 through a serial port interface 46 coupled to system bus 23. Alternatively, the input  
21 devices may be connected by other interfaces, such as a parallel port, a game port or a  
22 universal serial bus (USB). A monitor 47 or another display device is also connected to  
23 system bus 23 via an interface, such as video adapter 48. In addition to the monitor,  
24

1 personal computers typically include other peripheral output devices (not shown), such as  
2 speakers and printers.

3 The computer 20 may operate in a networked environment using logical connections  
4 to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computer 49. Remote computer 49 may  
5 be another personal computer, a server, a router, a network PC, a peer device or other  
6 common network node, and typically includes many or all of the elements described above  
7 relative to the computer 20, although only a memory storage device 50 has been illustrated  
8 in Figure 1. The logical connections depicted in Figure 1 include a local area network  
9 (LAN) 51 and a wide area network (WAN) 52 that are presented here by way of example  
10 and not limitation. Such networking environments are commonplace in office-wide or  
11 enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets and the Internet.

12 When used in a LAN networking environment, the computer 20 is connected to the  
13 local network 51 through a network interface or adapter 53. When used in a WAN  
14 networking environment, the computer 20 typically includes a modem 54 or other means for  
15 establishing communications over the wide area network 52, such as the Internet. The  
16 modem 54, which may be internal or external, is connected to the system bus 23 via the  
17 serial port interface 46. In a networked environment, program modules depicted relative to  
18 the computer 20, or portions thereof, may be stored in the remote memory storage device. It  
19 will be appreciated that the network connections shown are exemplary and other means of  
20 establishing a communications link between the computers may be used.

21 Figure 2 illustrates a client-server system 210 that includes server systems 212 that  
22 are networked together and with client systems 214. Client software 216 resides on the  
23 client systems 214 and server software 218, including various services 226, resides on the  
24

1 server systems 212. Each server system 212 also may include a time indicator 220 that is  
2 used by the server software 218 for adding a timestamp to outgoing messages.

3 In one embodiment, client-server system 210 is a messaging system, server systems  
4 212 are messaging servers, client systems 214 are user computers such as the computer 20  
5 of Figure 1, client software 216 is client messaging software, and server software 218 is  
6 server messaging software. Although this embodiment of a messaging system is described  
7 in further detailed below, it will be understood that the principles of the present invention  
8 may extend to many other types of network systems as well.

9 In the case of a messaging system, the client messaging software 216 and the server  
10 messaging software 218 allow the user associated with each client system 214 to create  
11 outgoing messages and otherwise manipulate messages. In addition, in order to read  
12 messages stored at the user's mailbox at the corresponding server system 212, the user's  
13 client system 214 links with the corresponding server system 212 by, for example, initiating  
14 a messaging session.

15 To initiate a messaging session, the user runs the client messaging software 216 and  
16 causes the client messaging software 216 to log into the server system 212 using a login  
17 procedure. Typically, a user password is passed to the server messaging software 218,  
18 which allows a working connection to be established only if the server system 212  
19 recognizes the password. After the login procedure is completed, the user is able to proceed  
20 with the messaging session. The messaging session may be closed and the working  
21 connection may be broken by the user de-activating the client messaging software 216.

22 Deficiencies in the client-server system 210 are detected by a monitoring system that  
23 includes polling software 224 that resides on one of the server systems 212 that polls  
24 (namely, polling server system 212") and attendant software 222 that resides on the server

1 systems 212 that are monitored (namely, monitored server systems 212'). Each instance of  
2 attendant software 222 compiles information about the server system 212 on which the  
3 attendant software 222 runs and, when queried by the polling software 224, provides the  
4 compilation to the polling software 224.

5 The compilation includes information about the services 226 of the server messaging  
6 software 218 including, for example, a directory service, a message store service, and a  
7 message transfer agent service. The directory service maintains records of locations of  
8 mailboxes in the messaging system and is used in the delivery of a message. The message  
9 store service stores and retrieves incoming messages for reading and other manipulation by  
10 users associated with the server. The message transfer agent service consults the directory  
11 service to deliver messages in the messaging system.

12 The polling software 224 maintains two lists of server systems to query: a normal  
13 server system list and a critical server system list. The critical server system list includes all  
14 server systems that the polling software 224 has discovered as having deficiencies. The  
15 normal server system list includes all the other monitored server systems. Initially, all of the  
16 server systems monitored by the polling software 224 are included in the normal server  
17 system list since the server systems are assumed to have no deficiencies unless a deficiency  
18 is discovered. As described below, a server system entry may be transferred from the  
19 normal server system list to the critical server system list if a deficiency is discovered at the  
20 server system.

21 The server systems in the normal server system list are queried by the polling  
22 software 224 in accordance with a "normal" polling interval such as, for example, 15  
23 minutes. The server systems in the critical server system list are queries at more frequent  
24 intervals of, for example, 2 minutes.

1 Figure 3 is a diagram of a polling procedure that is triggered in response to the  
2 commencement of one of the above-described polling intervals. The polling software 224  
3 first determines the server systems to query by referring to the appropriate server systems  
4 list as is now described. If the procedure was triggered in accordance with the critical  
5 polling interval (Yes in decision block 300), the polling software 224 refers to the critical  
6 server system list (step 310). Otherwise (No in decision block 300), the polling software  
7 224 refers to the normal server system list (step 320).

8 The polling software 224 then performs the following method for each server system  
9 in the resulting list. First, the polling software 224 queries the server system (step 330) by,  
10 for example, sending a network message to the attendant software 222 associated with the  
11 monitored server system. The attendant software 222 is expected to reply to the query with  
12 information in a compilation. The reply includes status indicators that indicate whether  
13 deficiencies exist in the time indicator 220 or services 226 of the server system. If the  
14 attendant software 222 for the server system does not reply with a compilation of  
15 information (No in decision block 340), the polling software 224 determines that the  
16 attendant software 222 is not operating properly, which constitutes a deficiency. The non-  
17 responsive server system is then listed in the critical server system list (step 360).

18 If the attendant software 222 or the monitored server system does reply with a  
19 compilation of information (Yes in decision block 340), the polling software 224 determines  
20 if there are any deficiencies in the server system as indicated in the reply (decision block  
21 350). If a deficiency is found (Yes in decision block 350), the server system is listed in the  
22 critical server system list (step 360). On the other hand, if there are no deficiencies found  
23 (No in decision block 350), the server system is listed in the normal server system list (step  
24

1 370). This process is repeated for all server systems in the list generated by steps 300, 310  
2 and 320.

3 For example, in the context of a messaging system, an administrator may configure  
4 the polling software 224 to transfer the list entry for the server system to the critical server  
5 system list if the polling software 224 discovers that the site's directory service is in a non-  
6 functioning state (step 360). Such a transfer is appropriate, because the monitored server  
7 system is unable to operate properly without a functioning directory service. Transferring  
8 the list entry for the deficient server system to the critical server system list allows the  
9 polling software 224 to provide an administrator with information about the deficient server  
10 system more frequently.

11 The administrator is also able to pre-select configuration settings for the polling  
12 software 224 for queries involving the critical server system list. For example, according to  
13 a list-transfer setting, a list entry for a server system may be transferred back to the normal  
14 server system list (step 370). Such a transfer may occur if all deficiencies reflected in earlier  
15 replies from the server system's attendant software are absent from the most recent reply  
16 from that attendant software. In most cases, such a setting is appropriate, because, after all  
17 of a server system's deficiencies have cleared, the administrator typically desires information  
18 at less frequent intervals. A deficiency may clear in response to one or more actions taken  
19 by the administrator or by an automated mechanism or both.

20 At the monitored server system 212', the attendant software 222 preferably may  
21 gather some information autonomously and other information only after receiving a query  
22 from the polling software 224. However, all of the information provided in response to the  
23 query, regardless of the way in which some of the information is gathered, is provided to the  
24 polling software 224 in one compilation and is not provided piecemeal. For example, the



1 attendant software 222 autonomously monitors a particular capability of the server  
2 messaging software 218. The capability involves allowing the reading of mail and making a  
3 working connection with a new instance of client messaging software 216, as described  
4 above. The attendant software 222 so monitors by simulating the executions of a mail-  
5 reading procedure and a login procedure. The simulation is accomplished by exercising the  
6 server messaging software 218 in the same way that the server messaging software 218 is  
7 exercised in the executions of actual mail-reading and login procedures.

8         Figure 4 illustrates the operation of the server messaging software 218. The system  
9 attendant software 222 has a messaging account, i.e., the attendant account, that is created  
10 when the attendant software 222 is first located and started at the server system 212. The use  
11 of the attendant account differs from the use of the messaging account of an ordinary user of  
12 the server system 212 in that the attendant software 222 does not send a password to gain  
13 access to the attendant account. Instead, the attendant software 222 gains access by  
14 submitting security codes retrieved from a network source that is responsible for network  
15 security. In other regards, the attendant account is preferable identical, aside from its  
16 contents and the like, to an ordinary user's account.

17         At the time the attendant account is created, a message store buffer service 240 is  
18 also located and started at the server system 212. Through the buffer service 240, the  
19 attendant software 240 gains access to the server software's message store service 242. The  
20 buffer service 240 is preferably identical to a user buffer service 244 that, in the case of an  
21 actual user, runs on the user's client system 214 along with the user messaging software 216.  
22 With respect to an actual user, the user buffer service 244 minimizes the user software's use  
23 of the link between the user software and server software by buffering information from the  
24 message store service 242. For example, when the message store service 242 receives a new

1 message directed to the user running the client messaging software 216, the message store  
2 service 242 sends a new-mail indication to the user buffer service 240. Thus, when the  
3 client messaging software 216 is ready to determine whether the user has one or more new  
4 messages, the user software is able to make the determination by referring to the user buffer  
5 service 240, not to the message store service 242 directly.

6 The attendant software 222 tests the use of the buffer service 240 by executing, at  
7 periodic intervals "A" of preferably one minute each, an interval A function as shown in  
8 Figure 5. First, the attendant software 222 determines whether it is necessary for the  
9 attendant software 222 to execute a login procedure to establish a working connection with  
10 the server software (decision block 400). If so, a login procedure is attempted (step 405). In  
11 the login procedure, the server software restarts services and establishes a working  
12 connection with the attendant software 222. If the login procedure fails (410), the attendant  
13 software 222 reports a login failure (step 415) for the next compilation reply, as described  
14 below, and terminates the execution of the interval A function. On the other hand, if the  
15 login procedure is successful, the attendant software 222 reports a login success (step 420)  
16 and the execution of the interval A function continues.

17 A working connection having been established during this or a previous instance of  
18 executing an interval A function, the attendant software 222 then requests that the buffer  
19 service 240 indicate whether any new messages have arrived for the attendant software 222  
20 (step 425). If the buffer service 240 responds properly to the request (Yes in decision block  
21 430), the attendant software 222 then determines whether the response of the buffer service  
22 240 indicates that the attendant software 222 has a new message (step 435). If the response  
23 so indicates (Yes in decision block 435), the attendant software 222 then attempts to read the  
24 new message (step 440). A successful read attempt or a lack of a new message to read

1 causes the attendant software 222 to finish the interval A function with a successful report  
2 (step 445).

3 However, if the attendant software 222 does not receive a proper response from the  
4 buffer service 240 or if the read attempt is unsuccessful, the attendant software 222 reports  
5 failure (step 450) and then executes a logoff procedure (step 455). In the logoff procedure,  
6 the attendant software 222 terminates the working connection with the server software. The  
7 logoff procedure is executed to set up the attendant software 222 to attempt to execute a  
8 login procedure at the start of the next execution of an interval A function. The logoff-login  
9 sequence is used to (1) attempt to address the cause of the failure, by causing, as described  
10 above, services to restart in the login procedure, and (2) test the ability of the server software  
11 to accept the execution of a login procedure.

12 In the case of an actual user and user computer, the buffer service 240 rarely requests  
13 information from the message store service 242. Rather, the buffer service 240 simply waits  
14 for the message store service 242 to provide information. However, the buffer service 240  
15 includes a facility that is triggered when the buffer service 240 has not received information  
16 from the message store service for a long time, e.g., one hour, while a working connection  
17 exists. The facility is triggered to confirm that the lack of received information is not due to  
18 a problem in communications between the buffer service 240 and the message store service  
19 242. The facility updates the buffer service's buffer of information by causing the buffer  
20 service to renew the buffer service's connection with the message store service and to  
21 request information from the message store service.

22 The attendant software 222 uses the facility at intervals "B", preferably every five  
23 minutes. The use of the facility at every five minutes allows the attendant software 222 to  
24 detect a communications problem quickly, i.e., within much less than the facility's standard

1 one-hour interval. In the interval B function of Figure 6, the attendant software 222 is able  
2 to use the facility only if the attendant software 222 has a working connection to the server  
3 software, i.e., if the attendant software 222 is "logged in" (Yes in decision block 500). The  
4 attendant software 222 invokes the facility (step 510) and then determines whether the  
5 invocation was successful (step 520). The invocation is expected to be successful unless the  
6 buffer service 240 has developed a problem.

7 If successfully invoked, the facility then requests an update, as described above, from  
8 the message store service 242 (step 530). If the update proceeds successfully (Yes in  
9 decision block 540), the success is reported for the next compilation reply (step 550).  
10 However, if either the invocation or the update request is unsuccessful, the attendant  
11 software 222 reports failure for the interval B function (step 560).

12 The reports of the interval A and interval B function are included in the compilation  
13 reply that is sent to the polling software 224 after the attendant software 222 receives a  
14 query from the polling software 224. Figure 7 illustrates the construction of the compilation  
15 reply 258. The interval A and interval B functions 260, 262 contribute reports 264, 266  
16 about the last attempt of the attendant software 222 to read mail and update the buffer  
17 service 240. In addition, a report 268 about the attendant software's login-related capability  
18 is included from the login test 270 that is driven via the interval A function 260. The  
19 compilation reply 258 also includes a time value 272 from the server's time indicator 220.  
20 The compilation reply 258 further includes, from a service-status function 276 of the  
21 attendant software 222, a set 278 of reports that includes the status of each of various  
22 services running on the server.

23 To include each status in the reply 258, the attendant software 222 first determines  
24 the services for which a status should be reported as shown in Figure 8 (step 700). The

1 attendant software 222 so determines by referring to a services list that includes services that  
2 were pre-selected by the administrator for the particular server. The server's services list  
3 preferably includes server messaging software services such as, as noted above, the  
4 directory service, the message store service, and the MTA service. Depending on the  
5 services available on a particular server, the administrator can configure the server's services  
6 list to include other services as well. Examples of these other services are (1) a service that  
7 is involved with communicating messages and computer data across the Internet and (2) a  
8 facsimile communications service.

9 The attendant software 222 then determines, for each service in the service list,  
10 whether the service is functioning properly (step 710). To do so, the attendant software 222  
11 preferably invokes a facility of the operating system that reports whether the service is  
12 functioning properly. The report of the facility serves as the basis of the status determined  
13 for the service.

14 Finally, for each service in the services list, the attendant software 222 adds the  
15 status of the service to the reply 258 (step 720). When the polling software 224 receives a  
16 compilation reply 258 from a server, the polling software 224 updates the server's clock 120  
17 if necessary as shown in Figure 9. To do so, the polling software 224 first retrieves the  
18 server's time from the reply 258 (step 800). The time includes a time zone indicator. The  
19 server's time is adjusted with respect to time zone and then compared to a master time kept  
20 by a master time indicator at the polling software's user computer (step 810). The difference  
21 in the times is then compared to a maximum permissible difference that is pre-selected by  
22 the administrator (step 820). If the maximum permissible difference is exceeded, the polling  
23 software 224 then causes the server's time indicator 220 to be updated to match the user's  
24 computer's master time indicator (step 830). By updating each server's clock as just

1 described, the polling software 224 is able to synchronize the clocks of all of the messaging  
2 system's servers 212 that share the polling software 224.

3 The present invention may be embodied in other specific forms without departing  
4 from its spirit or essential characteristics. The described embodiments are to be considered  
5 in all respects only as illustrative and not restrictive. The scope of the invention is,  
6 therefore, indicated by the appended claims rather than by the foregoing description. All  
7 changes which come within the meaning and range of equivalency of the claims are to be  
8 embraced within their scope.

9 What is claimed and desired to be secured by United States Letters Patent is: